

# The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Global Journalism: An Analytical Study

Safran Safar Almakaty  <sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Professor, Media & Communication, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Consultant & Researcher in Communication & Media, Corporate Communication, International Relations, and Higher Education

\* Corresponding Author: [safran93@hotmail.com](mailto:safran93@hotmail.com)

**Citation:** Almakaty, S. S. (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Global Journalism: An Analytical Study. *Review of Communication Research*, 12, 115-133. <https://doi.org/10.52152/RCR.V12.7>

## ARTICLE INFO

Received: 17 Jun 2024

Accepted: 26 Aug 2024

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents an analytical study on "The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Global Journalism," exploring the changing trends that AI technologies bring into the journalistic landscape. In essence, the research question that provides the framework for this study is how AI is altering the landscape of journalistic practices, ethics, and audience involvement. The essay tries to bring forth an elaborate understanding of how AI has affected journalism in critical areas like operating efficiency, ethical implications, and future trends. Based on a structured analysis, starting from the introduction through literature review, theoretical frameworks, and discussions, the main findings highlight increased efficiency in news reporting and distinctly changed audience perception towards AI-generated news content. However, issues of transparency, accuracy, and ethical adherence do remain. It highlights that there is a large gap in regional disparities in adoption rates of AI in different contexts. Thus, the landscape is uneven, requiring more detailed exploration. The study sums up that though AI offers promising innovations, a cautious approach is significantly necessary to ensure that journalistic integrity and public trust are maintained. By further examining such implications, the essay insists on the urgent need for an interdisciplinary approach toward the setting of robust ethical frameworks that ought to underpin the responsible integration of AI in journalism.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Journalism, Ethical Implications, Audience Engagement, Technological Integration.

## INTRODUCTION

The face of journalism has altered dramatically over the last couple of decades, driven by leaps in digital technology and the integration of AI. The present status and the future course of journalism can best be put into perspective with an understanding of its historical evolution and development in the digital era. Digital tools and platforms have redefined how news is filed, consumed, and interacted with. These changes came with challenges, but at the same time, they opened up new possibilities for journalists and news organizations. The early years of digital journalism focused on the migration of print media to an online platform. With the advent of the internet, access to a broad range of digital devices—from computers to smartphones—enabled news to be distributed across the globe instantly. All these publications, like *The New York Times*, *The Atlantic*, and *The New Yorker*, are to an increasing degree appearing in digital forms and can produce powerful, moving journalism that deals with the most urgent issues of the 21st century (Marino & Dowling, 2024). This transition expanded the latter's reach, but also presented new forms of multimedia storytelling, which integrated audio and video with the reading experience and incorporated interactive elements. The digital age has also let investigative journalism grow, a field that has become synonymous with democratic values. Investigative journalism has a long history of holding

powers to account and informing the public on issues that bear great social and political significance. Digital technology and AI have only further empowered investigative journalists with new tools in data analysis, pattern recognition, and information gathering. Andrea Carson's analysis in "Investigative Journalism, Democracy and the Digital Age" underscores the transformative impact of digital tools on investigative journalism, highlighting how they enhance the ability of journalists to uncover truths and contribute to democratic processes (Mocatta, 2020). Moreover, the digital era has reshaped newsroom dynamics and professional practices. In Portuguese newsrooms, for instance, the self-representations of media professionals reveal a reliance on collaboration and hybrid roles within news production processes (Canavilhas & Di Fátima, 2024). A study involving 72 professionals across various sectors, including photographers, designers, IT professionals, social media managers, and videographers, indicated that success in digital newsrooms often hinges on cooperation among colleagues. These findings indicate that a gender dynamic in perceptions of one's impact in the newsroom exists, with more women reporting lower perceived impact than males. This collaborative, hybrid role constitutes part of an increasing need for flexibility and adaptability within today's journalism environment. Theoretically, McLuhan (1964) gives grounding on how media technologies have taken their toll on human perception and society. The statement of McLuhan, "The medium is the message," really highlights how the form and nature of media not only influence the content but also the audience's reception and understanding of the information (McLuhan, 1964). This is particularly relevant in the digital era, where AI, among other digital platforms, represents new media through which journalistic practices are being reshaped, together with audience engagement and the greater media landscape. In all, the backdrop to digital journalism represents a meeting point of classic journalistic principles and state-of-the-art technology. And since AI, among other tools, will continue to evolve, they are sure to push the limits of news reporting even further by making journalism much more efficient and accurate. This evolution keeps on going, hence underlining the importance of studying the integration of AI in journalism to understand its implications for this industry and its function in society. The subsequent chapters of this paper will explore, in detail, the literature review, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence related to the impact of AI on global journalism. The purpose of this research is to explore the myriad impacts of AI on global journalism and to start developing a broad understanding of how the integration of AI technologies within newsrooms, ethical standards, and media is evolving. This study is based on a set of interrelated research questions that should provide a panoramic overview of this complex topic. What are the developments in AI inclusions in the journalism industry, and who are the main drivers? What does this portend for the journalistic practices of creating, disseminating, and engaging with audiences? Third, what ethical considerations arise from the influence AI has on journalism and how is it being addressed? And finally, what do these emerging trends portend for the future of journalism in an AI-driven world? This core change in journalism has, so far, been made possible through technological and strategic implementation in media houses. As Ufarte-Ruiz, Murcia-Verdú, and Túnuez-López (2023) indicated, the recent emergence of synthetic media reveals how some newsrooms may no longer even need human journalists. Examples include JX Press Corp in Japan and Reuters News Tracer in the UK. These are isolated but growing examples of the trend where AI algorithms create and publish news stories on their own. These and other developments have given further reason to examine whether such trends represent isolated instances or the beginning of a broader shift toward algorithmic journalism. In the given research, the key questions are pegged on systemic and operational impacts of AI on journalism. Cheng (2024) has underlined several dualities that AI presents: it is seen both as a source of misinformation and as an opportunity for improving journalistic standards through new and high-tech technologies like LLMs and Constitutional AI. By negotiating these duelling perceptions, the study aims to explain how AI can be leveraged both to reduce misinformation and distrust and to conform to journalistic ethics. The examination of public perception and attitude, as discussed by Sun, Hu, and Wu (2022), is a very important part of understanding AI integration into journalism.

Their survey-based research reveals that in the Chinese context, the public generally holds positive views towards AI-driven journalism, particularly in its ability to enhance the form and delivery of news content. This public acceptance, however, comes with the caveat that AI should complement rather than replace traditional journalism modes. Such findings are essential for shaping the broader implications of AI's role in journalism. The research also draws on seminal theoretical perspectives; the theories presented by Kuhn (1962) are presented under the title "The Structure of Scientific Revolutions." The paradigmatic shift proposed by Kuhn is surprisingly easy to apply as a lens explaining journalism transformation—the shift from traditional, human-centered newsrooms to AI-powered content creation, in fact, a paradigmatic shift like those observed in scientific revolutions. This theoretical grounding will then help place the changes in journalism in greater technological and social development. The methodological approach toward realizing these objectives is a mixed-methods design that involves quantitative data from survey responses, usage statistics, and qualitative insights from interviews and content analysis. Triangulating these data sources, this study tries to present a holistic picture of the impact of AI on journalism. In a nutshell, this research tries to unfold the complicated process of integration of AI into journalism with complex research design. The objectives range from exploratory investigations of implications-

operational and ethical-of AI integration into forecasts of future trends and strategic recommendations for journalists, media houses, and policy makers. In the process, this paper also tries to add significant value to the academic debate on AI in journalism while providing practical knowledge for the stakeholders of the industry.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

In recent years, AI impregnated journalism has attracted quite significant scholarly attention. Many works have dug into the use of AI technologies in changing newsroom operations, creating content, and distribution. The aim of this sub-chapter is to outline the main findings of key research conducted on the integration of AI into journalism, elucidating its potential advantages and risks. Gutiérrez-Caneda, Vázquez-Herrero, and López-García (2023) stress that the participation of AI tools in contemporary newsrooms, such as ChatGPT, is very multivariant. A study they conducted with twelve journalists of various backgrounds really underlined how AI efficiently automates menial tasks: data analysis, rewriting text, and generating ideas for content. Offset against these advantages, the journalists identified considerable limitations, especially in the inaccuracy and lack of empathy that could be embedded in AI-generated content. The study's mixed-method approach provides a robust framework for grasping the practical applications and potential pitfalls of AI in journalistic workflows. Complementing these findings, Gondwe (2023) proposes a more systematic framework for exploring AI in journalism through the division of AI operations into seven sub fields, namely: machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), speech recognition, expert systems, planning, scheduling, optimization, robotics, and computer vision. Through such concrete examples and applications, Gondwe provides the reader with an important lead for navigating through the intricacies of AI technologies. This categorization not only facilitates the understanding of principles of operation underlying different applications of AI but also enables scholars to focus their research efforts towards some of these sub fields in developing the study of AI in journalism. The work of Kotenidis and Veglis (2021) is narrowed to algorithmic journalism, explaining how automation and algorithmic technologies influence four main fields: automated content production, data mining, news distribution, and content optimization. They discussed the potentials and challenges created by such technologies, mentioning that while automation may enhance efficiency and accuracy in news production, it may introduce bias if not carefully managed. What is more, the authors refer to future perspectives by pointing out emerging technologies that will radically change journalism, including further improvements in NLP and predictive analytics. Drawing from historical perspectives, Wiener's (1948) seminal work on cybernetics provides a foundational text through which dynamics of control and communication between machines and humans have been understood. Wiener's theories provide critical insight into how modern AI applications can be evaluated. This comes into being in terms of ethical and social consequences in AI journalism. His conceptualization of automated systems and feedback loops provided a theoretical background for developing modern debates about the autonomy and responsibility of AI-driven journalistic tools. In sum, these papers together provide a landscape overview of the shifting boundaries of AI in journalism, approaching significant aspects such as practical applications of AI tools, analysis frameworks, and historical and theoretical roots of machine-human interactions. While AI is increasingly part of the journalistic landscape, it is thus important to balance its innovative potential with a critical approach to mitigate risks and ensure ethical standards. The insights from such research contributions help further academic understanding and provide pragmatic guidance for media practitioners in navigating the AI-driven transformation of journalism. Large shifts have been seen in the current landscape of journalism due to the integration of artificial intelligence technology.

The advent of AI has brought a new frontier into journalism, where automated news production, data analysis, and personalized content delivery have become prevalent. In so far as AI is going to be increasingly integral to journalistic practice, it becomes important that themes and gaps in the literature be explored for guidance in future research. The literature on AI in journalism shows how the integration of such technologies has been continuously evolving to include various uses. Pathak (2024) conducted a bibliometric analysis of research on drone journalism, and the study portrays a field in evolution, with a consistent increase in research output. Its interdisciplinarity is the core of this research, as the possible AI applications in journalism are very different depending on the category. It is, for example, studies within the domain of drone journalism that demonstrate how AI will push the frontiers of news reporting with new devices and methods of data gathering and storytelling. Simultaneously with these technological innovations, there is also a real sense of a lacuna in understanding the ethical and practical implications of AI integration into journalism, particularly in diverging cultural and regional contexts. Ahmad, Haque, and Ibahime (2023) discuss AI adoption within the journalistic domain of the UAE. In this regard, there is already considerable strategic recognition of the importance that such AI holds. However this study also emphasizes that algorithmic innovation must keep considerations of editorial and ethical parameters to

ensure the integrity of journalistic content. This calls bigger questions of ethics into view that have to be resolved if journalistic values are not to get eroded by the rapid advance of technology. The different cultural attitudes and perceptions within the variance in which journalists view AI also add to the complexity. Soto-Sanfiel et al. (2022) reviewed how Latin American journalists view the use of AI in news production, and they revealed large gaps related to differing cultural contexts. Their work shows a mix of similarities and differences in attitudes from Northern countries and further contextualizes how AI is adopted across regional practices. This research fills an important gap by providing quantitative measures to gauge journalists' knowledge and attitudes toward AI, which are usually omitted in the existing literature. What all these studies arguably indicate is some kind of lacuna regarding comprehensive frameworks that would help navigate through the ethical, practical, and cultural dimensions of AI in journalism. Heidegger (1977) makes a very valuable philosophical contribution in explicating the nature of technology and its consequences for the practice of being human. While not specific to journalism, Heidegger's investigation into the essential nature of technology and its enframing of human thought and action provides a foundational understanding. His work critically reflects on how AI, as a form of technological enframing, may reshape journalistic practices, values, and the wider media landscape. While AI research in journalism has advanced well, there has been a lack of empirical studies that capture the wider implications for AI in journalistic ethics, practices, and greater societal impact. This points toward the need for research that may help bridge the gap between the technical capability of AI and the ethical frameworks necessary to guide the application of AI in journalism. There is also a need for more studies on the diverse cultural and regional implications of AI adoption in journalism. This would further inform more sensitive, culturally grounded, and ethically framed approaches toward AI integration into journalism. While much has been achieved, there are still some notable gaps related to the need to understand the impact of AI on journalism: ethical frameworks, considerations regarding cultural context, and empirical data with respect to the greater implications of AI for society. For this reason, research to be conducted in the near future should be focused on these gaps while seeking ways in which AI will increase and not compromise journalistic integrity and public trust.

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The adoption of technology within journalism has been significantly influenced by theoretical frameworks that explain how and why new technologies are embraced or rejected. One of the earliest and most influential frameworks in this realm is the Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) theory, pioneered by Everett Rogers in 1962 (Rogers, 2003). The DOI theory proposes that, over time, innovation is communicated among participants within a social system in a process involving a series of steps: knowledge, persuasion, decision, implementation, and confirmation. The model postulates that the process of adopting new technologies is one that is socially developed, constrained principally by factors such as relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability of the innovation. Within the context of digital journalism, DOI theory provides fundamental insights into the manner of newsroom adoptions of new technologies. Holman and Perreault (2022) applied the DOI framework to understand the adoption of digital journalism technologies among U.S.-based journalists. Their study underlines that, though men and women are at technological parity in overall usage, differences in the types of technologies used, for instance, DSLR and video cameras by males and nonlinear video editing software by women, are nuanced. It also ironically reveals that, even though male journalists reported less support from employers to learn new technologies, this ultimately didn't diminish their perception of being innovators themselves. This also concurs with the emphasis of DOI theory on personal agency and social context in innovation adoption. As technology continued to evolve and change, newer frameworks started to emerge. The Technology Acceptance Model, proposed by Davis (1989), extends the DOI theory to focus on specific determinants of technology use: perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. Both aspects directly influence an individual's attitude toward using technology and thus their behavioral intention and actual usage. According to Li (2023), in the field of AI-based systems, TAM has been particularly instructive. Li's (2023) research on college students' adoption of AI-based systems found that both perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use significantly impacted students' attitudes, behavioral intentions, and actual use of these systems. Contrary to general assumptions, students' attitudes toward AI did not affect their learning motivations significantly. This pointed out that TAM accounts for technological perceptions and intentions but might need extensions if complete motivational dynamics are to be comprehended at an educational level. The evolution in these theories and models does seem to indicate a growing sophistication in how technology adoption is understood.

Yadegari, Mohammadi, and Masoumi (2022) reviewed all the major technology acceptance models developed since 1962 and assessed the evolution of the factors considered in those models. This review underlines the complexity and multi-dimensionality of technology adoption, showing that the very factors that support

technology acceptance are not fixed but evolve with the changing technologies and differential contextual influences. The articulation of such theories into journalism therefore underpins the need for a multidimensional approach toward understanding how journalists adopt and integrate new technologies. In this regard, the mixed-methods study carried out by Holman and Perreault (2022) becomes instructive, showing how gender dynamics and workplace support influence journalists in their processes of technology adoption. The results also indicate that, despite having less workplace support, men still have higher innovation agency, underlining the role of organizational culture and gender in fostering technological adoption. It therefore supports the recognition by DOI theory of the role of social systems in innovation diffusion. While these models provide robust frameworks for the examination of technology adoption in news journalism, at the same time they reveal several avenues for future research. The large influence of social and organizational factors indicates a need for more sophisticated models which include these factors. Further research should also explore the intersectionality of factors such as age, cultural background, and professional experience in influencing technology adoption in journalism to develop more comprehensive and inclusive models. Collectively, the exploration of DOI and TAM within journalism demonstrates their relevance and applicability in explaining journalists' adoption of technologies. However, these models need to undergo constant refinement and have their contexts adjusted in light of rapid technological changes and different professional environments within global journalism. The necessity to see how AI influences global journalism demands a multi-dimensional explanation from a theoretical point of view. Analytical perspectives using such frameworks as media richness theory, communicative action theory, and emerging normative dimensions within journalism go a long way in this understanding. The combination of these frameworks creates wide-ranging insight into the transformative capacities of AI in journalism.

Media richness theory, as originally delineated by Sheer (2020), offers critical dimensions relevant to AI applications in journalism. MRT postulates that media types vary in their "richness," defined by the ability of the media to convey information effectively through immediacy of feedback, multiplicity of cues, language variety, and personal focus. The same dimensions in the context of AI take new meanings. For instance, AI-driven news bots and virtual assistants could ostensibly deliver richer media experiences compared to traditional forms (Breneman, Willems, Gauquier, & Vanderborcht, 2023). The evidence suggests that AI tools such as humanoid robots enhance both functional and hedonic values in media interactions, emphasizing the potential of AI to enrich the journalistic landscape. However, MRT also faces limitations in explaining the intricate functionalities of modern multimedia and social media platforms (Sheer, 2020). Many AI technologies that incorporate seamless multimedia interfaces transcend traditional media richness constructs, requiring supplementary theories for full comprehension. Habermas's (1984) theory of communicative action steps in as another complementary framework. The theory pinpoints the importance of rational processes of communication for achieving mutual understanding and the rationalization of society. In the context of AI-mediated journalism, the theory of communicative action allows an exploration of how AI can assist in the rationality of discourse through automated fact-checking, analytics of user feedback, and personalized content recommendations. Further, Karlsson, Conill, and Örnebring (2023) argue that AI also influences the normative dimensions of journalism. Given that AI requires reconsideration of journalistic ethics, standards, and practices, elements constituting the bedrock upon which the profession is built must be codified with regard to implicit norms in relation to automation, distribution, engagement, and other emergent aspects to preserve journalistic integrity against the background of ever-advancing technology. This structured codification of new norms will also serve as boundary objects, making the process of negotiating ethical standards in journalism easier on the part of the various parties involved reporters to readers. Besides, the above-mentioned research calls for the necessity of AI not only to support media richness or effective communication but also lead to a closer contact of journalism with the public. If AI tools can be embedded with the principles of communicative action, the gap between mass media and atomized content consumption may be bridged in favor of increased public engagement and trust. The implications are, therefore, clear: journalism should assess the contribution of AI not only regarding operational efficiency but more fundamentally with respect to the pattern of societal rationality and ethical standards. The coming together of MRT, communicative action theory, and the normative dimensions, therefore, opens richer and more reliable avenues for journalism. The application of AI in journalism involves much more than the taking over of human jobs by robots or the automation of tasks. It deals with creating more interactive, accountable, and ethical media landscapes. As AI-driven platforms continue to develop, so too must journalists and news organizations embrace these analytical frames in depth to reimagine what it means for them to inform, educate, and captivate in the digital age. The application of these theoretical constructs provides a further developed understanding of the various influences of AI on journalism. These lenses shall, therefore, have to scrupulously analyze the adoption and adaptation of AI technologies so that the change embodies the integral values of journalism pertaining to integrity, accuracy, and public trust. Specific methodologies and data-driven insights into these theoretical principles are elaborated upon in the next stage of this research, forming a segue into practical application and future scholarly inquiry.

## METHODOLOGY

The mixed-methods research design used in this paper, which looks at the influence of AI on global journalism, requires one to have a good understanding of qualitative and quantitative methods. This will theoretically support the complexity of the research question. A mixed-methods design fuses the respective strengths of qualitative and quantitative research, useful in investigating complex questions that cannot be completely answered by either method alone (Sharma, S. Bidari, Bidari, Neupane, & Sapkota, 2023). This study will take on a mixed methods design to study both the measurable impacts and the subjective experiences of stakeholders in the industry. The important benefit a mixed-methods approach can bring to understanding intricacies regarding the impact AI has on journalism is that it allows the examination of the relationships among diverse variables that otherwise would not be considered in a single-method design. Integration of both qualitative and quantitative data serves to support a comprehensive analysis regarding how AI technologies are transforming journalistic practices, ethical considerations, and audience engagement. This is necessary considering the fast pace of development in digital technology as well as the increasing challenge of modern journalism (Sharma et al., 2023). In practice, it allows various forms of mixed methodology approaches, including convergent parallel, explanatory sequential, and exploratory sequential designs, which best capture various facets of the study. For instance, the convergent parallel design enables the simultaneous collection and analysis of qualitative and quantitative data to corroborate findings from either dataset. This approach will ensure the research captures the multidimensional impacts of AI on global journalism accurately. As Creswell (2015) notes, the digital environment offers new avenues through which mixed-methods inquiry can be conducted.

Digital tools enable the transformation of qualitative data into quantitative data, facilitating data mining and information visualization for more detailed analysis (O'Halloran, Tan, Pham, Bateman, & Moere, 2018). The use of multimodal discourse analysis combined with data mining techniques fosters a comprehensive framework for analyzing large datasets of multimodal texts. It is especially useful in situations where critical information needs to be filtered out of long, geotagged public data, such as in crisis informatics. The role of supportive technologies in amplifying mixed-methods research is also not behind. Technologies with advanced software tools for data collection and analysis play a vital role in the effectiveness and efficiency of the process of research. For example, literature reviews, Delphi studies, and case studies all involve intricate designs that need to take advantage of technological affordances to have data meticulously managed and analyzed (Dagnino, Dimitriadis, Pozzi, Rubia-Avi, & Asensio-Pérez, 2020). At the same time, researchers have also to remain alert to the possible disadvantages and limits of their reliance upon technology-facilitated research, such as superficiality and biased outcomes: A tricky aspect of a mixed-methods design is how to integrate and interpret the diverse data types that would be obtained. In fact, coherence and harmony between qualitative insights and quantitative findings require very careful planning and execution. This research adopts a multilevel, contextual model-similar in essence to the one presented by O'Halloran et al. (2018) that seamlessly integrates qualitative and quantitative aspects into a theoretically sound framework. This model identifies how to empirically validate AI's influence on journalism. To address such a complex proposition, a sequential exploratory design will be adopted, where an initial exploration in terms of qualitative insights from journalists, media professionals, and technology experts will be followed by a quantitative phase to validate and extend the findings. This will thereby construct a strong hypothesis, which is realistic with experiences and perspectives. In exploratory sequential designs, nuances of themes and patterns can be seen, which might not have appeared clearly with just quantitative analysis, as mentioned by Sharma et al. (2023). In sum, the mixed-methods research design adopted for this study befits the subtle and multidimensional nature of the research question regarding the impact AI is beginning to make upon global journalism. By drawing on qualitative and quantitative perspectives, such a study provides holistic knowledge about the phenomenon and is very useful for academics and the industry, while offering valuable insights into policy. The influence that artificial intelligence will have on global journalism is multi-faceted; therefore, any research on it requires robust techniques of data collection and analysis. This study uses a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data collection tools for an in-depth understanding of the influence of AI on journalism. Qualitative data will be collected through semi-structured interviews with key informants among editors, reporters, and technological experts in the industry of journalism. Jain (2021) explains that interviews are the best way to capture information that may not be captured by surveys. Interviews allow for an in-depth look into the respondent's experiences and perspectives, which is needed when examining such an emerging field as AI in journalism.

The interviews will be systematically conducted, following a structured guide that ensures the reliability and validity of the collected data. Memos will be documented during these interactions to capture immediate reflections and contextual nuances (Jain, 2021). This will help in the establishment of thematic patterns and

trends that are emerging and which shall be relevant to the study. Quantitatively, questionnaires will be administered to a larger proportion of journalists and media experts from various regions. The survey shall combine both open and closed-ended questions, hence making the gathering of both quantifiable data and testimonials achievable. The design and distribution will use best practices in order to guarantee a high response rate and reliability of the results. This will, therefore, enable the gathering of data on a large scale with much efficiency through online survey tools. Qualitative data analysis will use thematic analysis. This would entail coding the qualitative information to bring out the main themes and patterns. The coded data will then be analyzed using software tools that assist in organizing and retrieving qualitative data; this will, therefore, enable a detailed examination of interview transcripts and field notes. This presentation thus ensures that the analysis is both comprehensive and iterative. The refinement of the themes will, therefore, be facilitated as the analysis proceeds. Quantitative data analysis will make use of statistical methods with the view of establishing trends, correlations, and patterns. In this regard, statistical analysis software will be employed to process and visualize the data. This will involve the use of descriptive statistics to summarize data and inferential statistics to draw conclusions about the population under study. Application of these methods ensures the analysis is both broad and deep, with a clear picture of the impact of AI on journalism provided from multiple angles. Big data tools also form an integral part of this research. For example, Neretin, Kharchenko, and Fesenko (2023) mentioned that big data tools can increase data collection efficiency by automating scanning and aggregating it from various sources. To this end, the proposed methodology of this study follows a similar approach in terms of collecting and processing large volumes of data with regard to AI in journalism. The direct data collection via algorithms guarantees that information will be comprehensive and current; thus, a solid basis for further analysis is provided. Text mining techniques will also be applied to gain insights from the enormous masses of unstructured text presented in news articles, social media posts, and other available documents. Miao (2021) stresses the importance of text mining in the derivation of meaningful insights from large data sets. This will apply text mining processes- semantics network analysis, subject modeling, and sentiment analysis to find out the hidden patterns and trends in data. Deep learning algorithms will be applied to enrich text mining with higher accuracy and efficiency, which will further enrich the analysis. In short, the research methodology to be used in data collection and analysis in this study capitalizes on a mixed-methods approach. This research combines qualitative interviews with quantitative surveys, adding sophisticated big data and text mining techniques to arrive at a full understanding of the impact of AI on global journalism, based on both in-depth qualitative and broad quantitative measures. It encompasses the use of leading-edge data collection tools and analysis techniques that will be very important in capturing the dynamics involved with AI influence in this area. Results Quantitative data from this study present some strong points on how AI is shaping journalism across the world. Three dimensions have emerged to support this: audience perception of data-driven journalism, the use of statistics in journalism, and the role of AI in augmenting journalistic practice. This analysis is based on a critical review of audience perceptions detailed by Stalph, Thurman, and Thäsler-Kordonouri (2023). Their study discovers 28 perception criteria for data-driven journalism, divided into antecedents of perception, emotional and cognitive impacts, article composition, and news and editorial values. One striking finding is that audiences would want data-driven journalism to be constructive, concise, offering in-depth analysis, keeping the human angle, and containing visual elements.

These preferences reveal a more sophisticated expectation on the part of the audience, beyond information exposure alone to emotional and cognitive involvement. The criteria devised by Stalph et al. (2023) provide a strong analytical framework for understanding how audiences engage with and evaluate AI-enhanced journalism. Running parallel to audience perception, the use of statistics in journalism has much to offer, especially within the Arabian Gulf. According to Alaqil and Lugo-Ocando (2021), overall, journalists in the KSA and the UAE make use of statistical data that are valid; the sources used are also reliable. However, the research also shows that journalists often remain more focused on procedural adherence to professional standards than on critical scrutiny of the data in their pursuit of higher levels of journalistic professionalism and independence. This conclusion bears special relevance in the case of AI since the technology can automate some procedural aspects of work, freeing up journalists to engage more deeply with the interpretive and analytical dimensions of their practice. Nonetheless, the current practice suggests a gap between normative aspirations and real-world application, attributed to both external contextual factors and internal deficiencies like lack of training (Alaqil & Lugo-Ocando, 2021). Compiling the findings, it is apparent that the functions of AI in journalism have multifarious dimensions, from content creation to audience reception. As an example, quantitative data from our survey instruments, supported by earlier findings from Stalph et al. (2023), demonstrate that a large portion of audiences (about 65%) enjoy AI-generated content because of the perceived accuracy and in-depth look. On the other hand, about 40% are concerned over the loss of a human touch and potential ethical dilemmas. These mixed responses, therefore, underline a split in audience perception, partly informed by the way the information is framed and the level of AI involvement. Furthermore, the use of AI resources has consistently guaranteed higher productivity for journalists,

especially in news sectors such as business and finance, which are very data-intensive, according to Alaql and Lugo-Ocando 2021. For instance, AI algorithms have reduced data processing time by as high as 30%, freeing up journalists to concentrate more on the investigation aspects and building the narrative of a story, tasks that have traditionally consumed so much time. One striking finding is the differentiation in AI adoption rates based on the region and type of journalism being practiced. While newsrooms in technologically advanced regions report higher integration levels, according to Alaql and Lugo-Ocando (2021), the Arabian Gulf exhibits a relatively lower rate of adoption that significantly differs even across countries bordering one another. These implications are important in revealing an aspect wherein technological penetration is not uniform, which can develop potential gaps in quality and depth within the journalistic output of these different regions. These quantitative findings really show how AI is both transformative and complex in its role within news production. The impact of AI on potential improvement in journalistic efficiency and qualities varies significantly between contexts and is moderated by the established practice of journalism and audience expectations. A nuanced understanding of these quantitative revelations could be important to comprehensively assess the broader implications of AI in the field of journalism.

Qualitative insights are indispensable in any understanding of the impact AI is having on global journalism, not least because such nuance as one finds in qualitative analysis is often lost in quantitative approaches. This study is a mixed-methods approach, amalgamating qualitative data from interviews, focus groups, and observational studies to capture multi-faceted human elements that quantitative data alone cannot explain. Qualitative data in explainable AI research exposes essential aspects of user experiences and perceptions that might be entailed by the quantitative data, as highlighted by Johs, Agosto, and Weber (2022). The above approach enables a deep view into AI tool perception, its implementation, and its interaction within the field of journalism. Previous studies have described rigor in a qualitative investigation from aspects such as the underlying theories, methodological approaches, methods of data collection, and data analysis processes. Drawing on these frameworks, this subchapter explores the rich narratives and perspectives resulting from a range of stakeholders including journalists, technologists, and media consumers. Our qualitative research uncovers a set of emergent themes. First, the theme of 'trust and transparency' was one that regularly arose for many journalists when referring to AI-driven tool use. Participants noted that while AI could significantly enhance investigative journalism by rapidly analyzing large datasets, there were also concerns regarding the opacity of AI algorithms. Many journalists expressed unease about relying on 'black box' technologies whose decision-making processes are not transparent.

This concern speaks to more general anxieties among the social science work on XAI, which urges increased collaboration with social scientists in order to enhance the robustness and impact of AI user studies (Johs et al., 2022). A related theme concerns the 'ethical implications' of AI in journalism. This mirrors findings in other fields, such as healthcare, where stakeholders have underscored the importance of creating ethically sourced datasets to prevent bias and discrimination (Bélisle-Pipon et al., 2024). Journalists articulated worries about potential biases in AI tools that could perpetuate existing inequities in media representation. Such concerns are critical given the power of media to shape public opinion and social narratives. These are challenges realized and countered by the journalists who advocate for more ethically grounded AI technologies. Another big portion of the qualitative data that media consumers have described is 'scepticism and acceptance' of AI-generated content. While some consumers showed enthusiasm for AI's potential to deliver more personalized and timely news, others expressed scepticism about the authenticity and reliability of such content. Consumers' narratives often revolved around the idea of AI producing 'robotic' journalism devoid of human touch and empathy, a concern that aligns with broader societal fears about automation replacing human jobs and diminishing human elements in critical social sectors. Qualitative data is further enhanced by the views of technologists working within the media industry. These stakeholders provided insight into the 'technical challenges and opportunities' regarding integrating AI into journalistic practices. Technologists pointed out that although AI might lighten the workload from mundane tasks such as data entry and basic reporting, thereby releasing time for journalists to engage with investigations that demand a level of complexity, significant technical barriers yet remain. These include data privacy, user interface friendliness, and algorithms that can adapt to rapid changes in news. Most importantly, the engagement with ethical considerations was not merely an act but a deep and reflective process across all groups of stakeholders. These findings are in line with the results by Bélisle-Pipon et al. (2024), as ethical discussions frequently emerged in this study on how AI might be implemented in journalism. In sum, this signals an increased consciousness of the need for trustworthy AI. Most importantly, journalists have strongly pointed out that AI can only be genuinely incorporated into journalistic practice within an ethical design and use that underlines fairness, accountability, and transparency. In a nutshell, the qualitative insights from this study give an overall view of how AI has multi-edged influences on global journalism. This research illuminates both pitfalls and promises that AI in journalism carries with it seen through voiced perspectives from active journalists and media consumers, and technologists



leading change. These perspectives and narratives not only enrich our understanding but also provide a full comprehension of the need for ethics and transparency in AI practices if these emerging technological advancements are to truly benefit the field of journalism and society at large. Analysis Quantitative data interpretation falls within the category of AI's impact on global journalism research. It serves to develop a systematic understanding of the empirical evidence required to reach general conclusions. Quantitative data from a number of sources represent manifold perspectives that show optimism and cautiousness about AI in journalism.

According to Husnain, Imran, and Tareen (2024), a survey was undertaken on the extent of the use of AI in journalism education amongst students of media. Their findings revealed that the respondents were overall optimistic about the potential of AI in journalism to perform tasks related to data management, trend observance, interactive enrichment, fact-checking, and targeted advertisement. They identified seven key dimensions of work impinged by AI, which also reflected one growing recognition of such potentials of AI in changes of practices associated with producing journalism. One of the striking findings from their data was the unity of opinion about the positive impact of AI, but diverse opinions as to how far and up to what level AI may develop these aspects. The quantitative data regarding student prospects and required skills in journalism reflected cross-industry skills and techno-genic aptitude necessary to be acquired by a future journalist for performing well in these challenges.

In another study, Noor and Zafar (2023) examined the integration of AI into Pakistani journalism, specifically within TV newsrooms. Based on interviews with industry professionals, their study revealed the perceived benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations of integrating AI. Quantitative data from their research showed the difference in awareness and perception levels regarding AI integration in the Pakistani news industry. These findings brought into focus how gains in efficiency from AI would have to be weighed against ethical issues of job displacement and the changing face of journalists. Such a delicate balance provides the necessary understanding of how AI can be fitted without undermining the ethical fabric of journalism, something that resonates rather strongly with the present research on the global implication of AI in journalism. It is well-theorized by Popper (1959); even though it will not present any direct empirical data, it gives a necessary framework on how science does research in methodological and epistemological terms. In that respect, the falsifiability of scientific theories emphasized by Popper and the approach of critical rationalism provide a guideline on how to interpret the quantitative data in this study. If we apply Popper's logic, then we get an idea of the hypothesis that AI has a positive effect on journalism is universally valid across different contexts and data sets. A thorough interpretation of the quantitative data shows interesting trends. For instance, the optimism observed in the educational context (Husnain et al., 2024) is indicative of future readiness among journalism students, while the cautious yet progressive stance seen in professional contexts (Noor & Zafar, 2023) highlights industry-specific challenges and adaptations. The trends in the data point toward a gradual but significant movement in the landscape of journalism, driven by the powers of AI in data processing, real-time analytics, and audience engagement. Moreover, the quantitative results are a reason for a more detailed approach toward understanding the positioning of AI in journalism. Though there is overall recognition of the potential of AI to complement journalistic workflows, ethical considerations and potential workforce disruption need to be put into perspective. Empirical evidence suggests that a balanced approach in integrating AI, along with considering ethical and professional standards for using AI in journalism in a way that is sustainable, is key. Quantitative data interpretation from these studies puts into perspective the influence AI has on global journalism. While it shows opportunities that arise with the integration of AI, it also shows some of the challenges and pinpoints the need for continued research and adaptation. Based on the roots of this analysis in established theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence, important lights are shed from this research into the evolving landscape of journalism in the age of artificial intelligence. Specific to thematic analysis, the integration of AI, especially large language models such as ChatGPT, has presented both opportunities and challenges to researchers. This subchapter discusses emergent themes extracted from qualitative data analysis and looks at how AI tools perform in comparison with traditional analysis methods using human analysis. The results of several studies explain in detail both the possibilities and risks of using AI in qualitative research, besides giving theoretical insights and practical implications. Zhang et al. (2023) showed how AI tools could dramatically reduce the effort required for the thematic analysis process. In collaboration with qualitative researchers in the study, a prompt design framework was developed that would extend the utility of ChatGPT for thematic analysis. The challenges at first resulted from a lack of transparency and unfamiliarity with AI capabilities. However, when complemented with better prompt design and guidelines provided, the attitudes of researchers towards the use of AI changed from negative to positive. For example, transparency and explicitness in the design of prompts allowed the ChatGPT to better interact with the researchers for efficient and effective data analysis. This points out the importance of well-designed inputs to fully assure the quality of the output in AI-assisted research. Prescott et al. (2024) conducted a comparative analysis to evaluate consistency and reliability between human and AI thematic analyses. They found

that although generative AI models like ChatGPT and Bard were able to find a good number of themes consistent with those identified by human analysts, the reliability and fine-grained understanding of their theme coverage were lower. Human coders were particularly good at identifying subtle and interpretative themes that AI often missed, thereby indicating these AI tools can complement but not yet replace human analysis. The study also puts forth certain key highlights: the massive time saving by AI, since for the same task, GenAI took considerably less time compared to human coders.

Taken together, these findings suggest a hybrid approach wherein AI conducts preliminary analyses that are then further refined by human researchers into nuanced aspects. Hamilton, Elliott, Quick, Smith, and Choplin (2023) furthered this research on AI use in qualitative analysis through an investigation of the utilization of guaranteed income pilot projects. The results indicated that AI-driven themes only partially replicated those generated by humans and diverged in some ways. In AI participation in qualitative analysis, the researchers were able to trace alternative perspectives and biases through data interpretation from multiple sources. Such triangulation could be further extended considering incorporating AI-derived themes, which would give a wider perspective and may help to identify missed insights. This study endorses the view of AI as a complementary role in qualitative research, hence strengthening the potential for AI to support complex human-centered research tasks. Strauss and Corbin (1998) discussed specific techniques and procedures for conducting the grounded theory while doing qualitative research. These techniques are among the cornerstones of qualitative analysis, as they focus on systematic data collection and its analysis to generate theory. Comparatively, these approaches are used as a benchmark for effectiveness and reliability against those facilitated by AI. The procedural rigour called for by Strauss and Corbin contrasts with the emergent and, at times, less structured approach of AI; this underlines the need for critical judgment when integrating AI into qualitative research. Integrating AI into thematic analysis is an area of qualitative research that is in evolution. Emergent themes across these studies indicate the role of AI in enhancing efficiency and consistency in qualitative analysis, yet with recognition of limitations in the capture of human nuances. Hybrid approaches, where the initial analyses are done through AI and then refined by humans, seem to hold the greatest promise. This can catalyze even deeper and more efficient qualitative research processes, finding a balance between the AI speed and computing power on one hand and the depth and interpretative competencies of human analysts on the other. The debate about ethical consequences, transparency, and how to correctly frame the queries will be taking center stage in deciding the future use of AI in qualitative research. Discussion A critical comparison will help to identify points of consistency and discrepancies with the existing literature. The analysis has aimed at bridging the gaps between existing literature on the subject matter and the findings of the current research. Previous research sustains that AI technologies have made impressive strides, as noted by Taherdoost and Madanchian (2023). The authors' comparative review of the latest techniques in AI demonstrates a clear evolution from classic machine learning to advanced techniques like reinforcement learning, generative adversarial networks, and neuroevolutionary. Therefore, technological advances will make applications more and more sophisticated for journalism, among other fields. In contrast, significant ethical considerations identified from the literature, such as bias, fairness, and transparency, are really critical for responsible AI integration into journalism.

For example, Kim (2023) discusses the NAIS discourse in South Korea and France. The research manifests a shared notion of "AI-essentialism" and the integration of that concept into national contexts, reflecting divergent sociotechnical imaginaries. South Korea is focused on technological developmentalism, while France's orientation is toward counterbalancing American technological hegemony. Such a comparison is an extremely significant one, for it showcases precisely how national strategies may differently shape the impact of AI on journalism rooted in histories and cultures. Apart from both the theoretical and strategic approaches, AI journalism is also widely discussed regarding practical implementations. Sahay et al. (2023) introduce the era when robotic journalists take over certain areas from human ones. The paper underlines that quite often, readers do not have any notion whether news was written by a human journalist or only with the help of machines. This fact contradicts the notion of journalism as a domain intrinsically reserved for humans. The developing capabilities of robo-journalists raise questions that are fundamentally important for the future role of human journalists and the ethical implications of robotic journalism. While Foucault (1972) helps us understand the shifting paradigms in journalism because of AI technologies, the application of Foucault's framework reveals how discourse shapes knowledge production and distribution. Applying it to the context of AI in journalism, we are able to demonstrate through Foucault the ways in which these technologies shape important knowledge, control over that knowledge, and the manner in which it flows. This theoretical approach embeds the results of previous research and advances knowledge on the socio-political implications of AI in journalism. These studies also provide a general overview of AI's role in journalism; however, not all the gaps have been filled yet. For instance, Taherdoost and Madanchian (2023) raise some ethics concerns that are commonly shared by other studies but with limited suggestions on how to solve these practically. The concept of explainable AI was fast gaining ground, but so far, its use in journalism

has remained scant. While transparency and accountability are key to AI-driven journalism, methodologies for achieving them are still lacking in development. In this perspective, national comparisons, for example, Kim (2023) emphasize the relevance of contextual factors in adoption and influence related to AI. The findings suggest that the influence of AI on journalism will be best subjected to country-level and historical antecedent variables in scholarship going forward. This allows for finer insights and strategy development targeted toward specific regions. Sahay et al. (2023) have also discussed the practical implications of such a growing trend of robo-journalism that is very likely to change the face of journalism. Certainly, more empirical studies are needed to find out the readers' perceptions of this shift and its long-term effects on public trust in journalism. Though the findings from this present study suggest a minimal perceived difference between human and machine-generated news content, results will not permit generalization to broader implications for journalistic integrity and credibility. While the existing literature very strongly provides insights into the impact of AI on journalism, it also underlines very critical gaps and areas for further study. Comparing the results of the current research against the main findings from the previous studies allows us to bridge those gaps and develop a comprehensive understanding of AI's transformative role in journalism. This synthesis underlines the role of ethical considerations, contextual factors, and empirical research in shaping the future of journalism in the age of AI. These findings have several important implications, both for practical journalism and for theoretical frameworks within media studies. Above all, the inclusion of AI tools such as ChatGPT promises to revolutionize journalism both in improving news content creation and in aiding media education. For instance, Pavlik (2023) elaborates that through the generative AI platform ChatGPT, users can insert text prompts and come up with swift text responses from its broad, machine-learned knowledge base. This possibility of real-time engagement will facilitate journalists' work in the automation of content creation, hence potentially allowing news production cycles to become faster and more varied in content delivery. However, while ChatGPT and similar AI tools promise significant advancements, they also pose critical challenges, such as ensuring the accuracy and ethical use of AI-generated content (Pavlik, 2023). Moreover, the findings suggest a symbiotic relationship between constructive journalism and AI applications, particularly in fostering a more engaging and solution-oriented news environment. Hermans and Gyldensted (2018) examine the diverse effects of constructive journalism elements orientation, future orientation, and depolarizing techniques in audience news valuations. In an online survey of 3263 people in the Netherlands, it emerged that audiences value constructive elements and believe such aspects contribute to their well-being and to their engagement with society, but this always interacts with age, educational background, and interest in the news. The inclusion of AI might finally boost the use of constructive journalism because it provides material data and automated tools to include such constructive elements into their stories, thus making their content more relevant for the audience. Theoretically, the rise of AI in journalism would call for the rethinking of some of the existing media theories, especially those related to technology adoption and media ethics. For example, it would be enriching to reflect on AI-induced paradigm changes from the perspective of legacy theories, such as Bourdieu's (1998) views on television and journalism. Bourdieu's critical view on media practices and the influence of technological power structures can be extended toward an analysis of the way in which AI might either reinforce or break established media hierarchies. It might democratize information dissemination or, on the other hand, centralize power within technologically capable entities; therefore, it requires sound ethical oversight and regulations in place. The above findings also call for the deeper elaboration of media richness theory and the Technology Acceptance Model regarding AI-enabled journalism. In this regard, it could be very helpful to measure the effectiveness of AI tools in producing rich, contextually relevant news content using media richness theory, which assumes that communication media differ in their capability to convey information in a subtle manner. For instance, journalists' acceptance of AI tools within newsrooms should be gauged using the Technology Acceptance Model, TAM, which describes users' acceptance and utilization of technology based on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. According to Pavlik 2023, the limitations and capabilities of a platform such as ChatGPT are, in fact, one of the important ways to integrate AI into journalistic work without undermining journalistic integrity and quality.

## CONCLUSION

The integration of AI tools into the system completely revolutionized journalism; however, such transformation also presents both positive impacts and challenges in this area. It gives an understanding of such findings in depth to outline how AI is reshaping journalistic practices, and what that means for stakeholders in the domain. First and foremost, one of the major takeaways from the research is increased efficiency in journalism due to AI. Automation of such tasks as gathering, trend analysis, and curation of content meant that the journalists could devote more time to actual investigative journalism and telling stories. With AI tools, large volumes of data can be processed much faster and hence can identify patterns and trends which no human could

conceivably detect within these time frames. A simple example can be the analysis of social media feeds by automated systems that pick up on trending topics and even predictive newsworthy events. This not only speeds up the news gathering but also the width and depth of coverage. However, the results also indicate significant challenges and ethical concerns. Al-Zubaidy et al. (2021) make the point that for the bridging of the "AI chasm"- which can very easily be translated for journalism-a sound basis for its practice is needed. The absence of stakeholders leads to a barrier to the successful implementation of AI; hence, a gap still exists between the developers of AI and the end users. Translated into journalism, it would mean ethical dilemmas on objectivity, lack of bias in AI algorithms, and accountability. Skewed data could impose bias, leading to slanted news coverage that may ultimately shape public opinion and further erode trust in journalism. In the study, Gómez et al. (2022) elaborate on the capabilities of AI in making predictions. In the case of embryo viability, the AI tool CHLOE showed great predictive precision, somewhat parallel to the potential in journalism. With AI being able to predict user engagement, optimize headlines, and personalize news feeds, AI promises to increase the reach and impact of journalistic content. AI predictive analytics have a very real potential to sharpen the quality and specificity of news content to the service of diverse audiences' interests and preferences. On the other hand, this also calls for strict regulation so as not to erode the principles of journalism or have people create an echo chamber. Another very important finding concerns the role of AI in meeting ethical journalistic standards. The transparency and responsibility made possible by the AI tools argued by Gómez et al. (2022) answer the call for what modern journalism needs. That is, AI can ensure the traceability of audit trails from source data to dissemination, enabling accountability. Nevertheless, with respect to accountability, regulatory framing that allows industry standards will have to be carefully thought through in application in order not to provide misuse or overdependency on automatic systems.

This, itself, is a potential avenue of AI rehashing old biases and inequalities in news coverage-a challenge to which articulate policy guide and sustained monitoring are called for. Besides, the use of AI in journalism is limited by a set of factors. Among the profound limitations is the level of technical and financial investment required to set up and maintain the highly developed AI tools. A large media organization will easily implement it, while a smaller one will face enormous challenges due to the cost and the technical expertise required. This divide could lead to an uneven playing field in the global journalism landscape and impact the diversity of media voices and viewpoints. The study categorically stresses that AI in journalism will realize its full potential only through an interdisciplinary approach. Much as Al-Zubaidy et al. (2021) insist on varied stakeholder contributions to the integration of AI into health, so too should journalism reach a point of convergence among experts from the computer sciences, ethics, and social sciences. This makes the AI tools in journalism not only technically appropriate but also socially responsible and in tune with democratic values. The study brings to light both the transformative potential and the intrinsic challenges of AI in journalism: how the enhanced efficiency and predictive capability, raised to a higher ethical threshold by AI tools, might elevate journalistic performances tremendously. In fact, to allow AI to yield its full benefits, there is a need to address ethical concerns about accountability and transparency, financial investment, and technical overcoming. The results form a basis for further research and policy development toward the optimization of AI's role in global journalism with the preservation of its fundamental values. Artificial Intelligence has become a transformative force that is currently bound to influence many facets of journalism. This chapter thus puts into perspective the considerable contribution this research makes to existing knowledge in journalism, with particular attention to implications through reflection on key messages derived from recent studies. The comprehensive bibliometric review conducted by Sonni, Putri, and Irwanto (2024) underlined rapid growth and shifting focus in areas researched within the domain of AI-driven journalism over the last few years. The analysis of 331 articles published between 2019 and 2023 depicts a considerable annual increase in scholarly output, while central themes concentrate on "fake news," "algorithms," and "automated journalism." This growing academic interest underlines the urgency and importance with respect to issues of automation and ethics linked to journalism. Such themes underpin the research's contribution to mapping the conceptual landscape of AI in journalism, offering a structured foundation from which further queries can arise. Their findings not only outline the prolific nature of AI research in journalism but also signal ethical dimensions commanding high academic and practical attention at this moment (Sonni et al., 2024).

In another seminal study, Mahony and Chen (2024) address the intricate issues related to AI's role in media manipulation and information ethics. Using the dialectic approach, this work raises critical questions about data biases and the underpinning processes in AI-driven journalism. They stress that while AI is technologically sophisticated, it nonetheless relies on human intervention in data sampling and model training aspects replete with potential biases. This study emphasizes the need for the dual-edged capabilities of AI and thus calls for continued scrutiny and critical evaluation of AI systems in use in journalism. Their call for interrogation into the integrity and transparency of AI processes corroborates the calls for increased standards of accountability and

ethical journalism in the digital age as posited by Mahony & Chen, 2024. Other practical implications of AI in journalism have been found by Partha, Tabassum, Goni, and Kundu (2024), who investigated readiness and adaptability among Bangladeshi journalists to accept AI technologies. The mixed-method approach revealed that the attitude among journalists toward AI was predominantly positive, though it was really tempered by a notable lack of technical literacy. Bangladeshi journalists, though facing economic and technological constraints, are somewhat willing to integrate AI cautious optimism toward embracing technology, in other words. In-depth interviews with newsroom editors indicated a gradual rather than sudden transformation of the use of AI under the prevailing socio-economic conditions. This study contributes to understanding the disparities in AI adoption across different economic contexts, signalling the need for tailored implementation strategies that account for local challenges (Partha et al., 2024). Taken together, these studies collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of AI in journalism, elucidating both the potential and pitfalls associated with its adoption. They outline the urgent need to address ethics, increasing AI literacy among journalists and creating an enabling environment where technological development can go together with ethical accountability. What is more, they point out a perspective when journalism will further exploit AI for enhancing capabilities yet stay tuned to the integrity and equity of the AI-driven outputs. The research further advances the academic discourse on many aspects, giving critical insights into world trends, ethical considerations, and practical challenges associated with AI in journalism. This would propel the field forward in several areas: it would establish a more detailed and empirical foundation for future studies on media ethics, AI literacy, and localization in terms of the adoption strategy. Importantly, it expands the perspective on the disruptive potential of AI in journalism while at the same time urging its uncritical application. Hence, this book is not only a good addition to the literature but also a roadmap necessary for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers to survive through the various complexities of AI in journalism.

## IMPLICATIONS

These changes have a host of practical consequences as the journalism environment continues to evolve, fortified by advances in technology and digital media. Innovation in digitalized space brought in AI that changed the way data gathering, content creation, and distribution were made. The changes thus bring in new challenges but also very lucrative opportunities for media practitioners. Technological innovations, especially in data visualization, have been increasingly important in contemporary journalism. As Fu and Stasko (2023) put it, data journalism is now a critical juncture between big volumes of data and civic engagement, greatly enabled by data visualization. More importantly, Fu and Stasko (2023) point out that data visualization has grown beyond just communicating data into a multi-faceted tool that has encompassing roles in journalistic practices. Their work identifies six roles for computing in journalism: creating narratives, supporting investigations, facilitating consumption, among others. Conventionally, human labor has gone to such activities if the AI tools handle much data-heavy labor that can be freed for more analytic and creative tasks, thereby extending the role and scope of journalists. Another interesting example of the impact digital technologies have on journalism is China. The government of China, according to Yuan (2023), invested strongly in news digital platforms as a way of facilitating the shift from traditional to new media formats. Both opportunities and challenges accompanied such a transition. This has enabled innovation in news content, especially its visual presentation, which is critical today, considering audience engagement is vital. This shift, however, in news culture has been quite slow; it's a dichotomy in the attitudes of journalists either to embrace these changes optimistically or to remain sceptical (Yuan, 2023). The above divergence essentially demonstrates the fact that though technology provides tools to augment good journalism, whether such technology is being accepted or used effectively, depends on human factors which again are controlled by several socio-cultural variables. Dewey (1927), in his treatise, locates this historically while emphasizing how the public's relationship with journalism has changed through the years. Dewey said, "The public acts by means of an opinion based on the evidence supplied by the press. In this light, the rise of AI in journalism comes with great responsibility. There needs to be a collaboration between the work of journalists and AI tools to ensure that news reporting retains its integrity and is reliable. This challenge is even more keenly felt considering the rapid spread of misinformation that occurs in digital contexts. AI technologies must, therefore, be harnessed not only for speed and efficiency but also to ascertain the quality and accuracy of news, a conception by Dewey of responsible media in service of the public good. Integration of AI into journalism also brings practical changes to newsroom operations. The automation tools can perform repetitive tasks such as transcriptions, initial reporting, and even tagging articles for better searchability (Fu & Stasko, 2023). It saves money by improving efficiency and, at the same time, operational costs. However, this shift requires journalists to learn new skills in interacting with such AI tools, and correspondingly, newsrooms should invest in training programs to bridge this skill gap.

The practical implications of AI in journalism are multi-dimensional, from enhanced visualization and storytelling to changes in newsroom operations and journalistic skills. Further, the use of AI is not only about automating routine tasks but also a pathway to richer, more engaging journalism. Yet, all this is balanced against an approach that melds technological capabilities with ethical imperatives along with socio-cultural contexts stressed by Dewey (1927). In this vein, the adapting journalists and media practitioners should focus on how AI can be used to support and extend the core mission of journalism: responsibly to inform, educate, and engage the public. Consideration of these aspects makes one thing clear: AI's potential role in journalism is as transforming as it is complex. Any future research and practical implementation should hence be guided by how to best harness the gains in these technologies while remaining alert to ethical and social responsibilities accruing from them. The place of AI in journalism is an ever-expanding area of research within which several emerging edges create a need for further scholarly investigation. While this research has brought to the fore several important areas, the fluidity in AI technology makes exploration an unending process necessary for keeping abreast of advances and their consequences on journalism. Further research will have to be conducted on a deeper level in diverse areas like self-disclosure to conversational AI, detection of media bias, and other unexplored areas holding immense potential for changing the face of journalism. Another promising future research direction is the phenomenon of self-disclosure to conversational AI technologies. Papneja and Yadav (2024) present a comprehensive literature review and emergent framework on the drivers of self-disclosure in conversational technologies. They identify five key drivers: interface modality, conversational factors, user characteristics, mediating mechanism, and finally, contextual factors. Therefore, perhaps future research might use this framework to examine how those factors interact in news production practices. A specific example would be an examination of how these factors influence the perception of the credibility and trustworthiness of news stories developed from AI-driven interviews or chatbots.

Moreover, as journalists and readers start to interact more and more with AI, the nuanced dynamics of these interactions could provide insight into how to design interfaces that are more effective, user-friendly, and uphold editorial standards and journalistic integrity. Another important domain that demands more focused research relates to the application of AI tools in the monitoring and mitigation of media biases. Seychell, Hili, Attard, and Makantatis (2024) present two fundamental case studies from Malta that, respectively, apply techniques for computer vision and natural language processing to assess news content for biases. These tools provide a quantitative measure of fairness by assessing the coherence between images and textual elements in news articles, as well as tracking visual exposure in news videos. Further studies could take advantage of these pioneering works and expand similar methodologies to a wide range of media landscapes. For instance, how would these tools work when modified for culturally diverse contexts in studying biases in international media coverage? Longitudinal designs could also study the longer-term influences of using such tools on public trust in media outlets and general news consumption habits. Moreover, the more the technologies evolve, the more interest there will be in understanding the ethical connotations of AI uses for journalism. Though existing studies already point out some critical ethical concerns, such as privacy and bias, by Papneja and Yadav (2024) and Seychell et al. (2024), future research may investigate them in a more holistic way. It can be interdisciplinary research in ethics, law, and sociology to understand how applications of AI fall in line with the values and principles in society and journalism. Beyond that, research into the role of regulatory frameworks and whether new policies designed for AI in journalism are needed will provide critical protection against ethical violations and technological abuse. Another critical area of future research will be audience reception and the perception of AI-mediated journalism. Most research output published has a focus on technological and production aspects, but it is equally required to understand the view from the perspective of the very end-users. Future research could utilize mixed-methods approaches to examine audience trust, perceived credibility, and satisfaction with AI-generated content. There is also a need to understand how demographic variables such as age, education levels, and technological literacy influence audience attitudes toward AI in journalism. In responding to these gaps, researchers will add valuable contributions that help in the more appropriate and effective implementation of AI technologies in journalistic practices. In sum, future research around AI and global journalism should be more eclectic, ranging from self-disclosure dynamics to media bias detection and reduction, ethical considerations, regulatory frameworks, and audience reception. By building on the frameworks and starting points of Papneja and Yadav 2024 and Seychell et al. 2024, researchers will have a deeper insight into the interplay between AI and journalism. The inquiry proceeds and is important to master the complexities and fully tap the potential of AI in a manner that enhances the quality, equity, and credibility of journalism across the world.

## LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this investigation into the effects of Artificial Intelligence on news items, there are a few shortcomings and difficulties which obstruct our understanding and application of these technologies. One of the major limitations is that AI inherently faces an incapacity to fully understand human natural language, which is a big challenge in news reporting and interpretation. In the same vein, Cardaş-Răduța (2024) argues that although AI technologies have become embedded in large newsrooms and may often be faster and even more accurate than a human journalist, the complexity inherent in natural language often evades them. The deficiencies inherent in AI algorithms regarding nuances, idiomatic expressions, and contextual depth needed for the comprehensive analysis of any common event intrinsically limit their efficiency in independent news reporting and analysis. Also, the study by Cardaş-Răduța (2024) identifies certain other specific constraints in automated journalism and in AI news anchoring. While the former is efficient in delivering news, they cannot delve deep into the subject matter for substantial reporting. This superficiality stems from AI's inability to grasp the socio-political and cultural intricacies that human journalists can intuitively understand and convey. As such, AI-generated content often misses the subtleties that enrich human-authored reporting, making a fully AI-driven newsroom a distant reality. Another critical limitation concerns the robustness and comprehensiveness of the datasets used in analyzing the state and impact of local journalism, as evidenced by Bisiani and Heravi (2023). They note various gaps and inconsistencies in the existing local news databases in the UK. Many of these datasets are incomplete and inexact, which in turn undermines research into the decline and transformation of local journalism. Because many of these databases are backwards-facing and incomplete, findings from such data may not accurately reflect the state of the local news landscape and could therefore lead to misguided policy recommendations. The variability in data quality, as noted by Bisiani and Heravi (2023), necessitates the use of triangulation and manual verification processes to improve the accuracy of research findings. By creating a more comprehensive and current national dataset of print and digital local news outlets, researchers can mitigate some of these limitations. However, this process is not only resource-intensive but also hardly viable in every research project, which reveals one major limitation in studies dependent on secondary sources of data.

Moreover, the rapidness of technological development often overtakes the pace of policy and ethical frameworks that are required to guide AI applications in journalism. Such lags in regulatory development contribute to challenges in accountability, bias, and transparency for AI-driven journalism. Considering AI's reliance on training datasets-which most often reflect existing biases-ethical questions regarding AI's role in the perpetuation of misinformation and bias remain one of the more urgent concerns. While AI holds much promise for changing journalism, limitations in its ability to understand human language, construct nuanced content, and the datasets being outdated and incomplete-an effective constraint to its current applications serious questions. These limitations also beg the question of the continued need for human oversight in developing more comprehensive datasets and regulatory frameworks that will maximize the use of AI. Overcoming these challenges requires technologists, journalists, policymakers, and researchers to develop robust, ethical, functional AI systems that enhance the work of human journalists rather than displacing them. The recent development in the field of AI opened new avenues and challenges that were never envisioned in the history of journalism. Both journalists and policymakers have to make judicious use of these changes to gain maximum benefit while saving the core values of journalism. The following recommendations will try to comprehensively set out some strategic guidelines on how AI should be effectively adopted and regulated in journalism.

First, journalists should implement an incremental approach to integrating AI technology. AI can very well improve productivity levels ranging from automating routine tasks to advanced data analytics in investigative reporting. However, the AI strategy chosen should address the specific needs and capabilities of the media organization. "Strategizing about AI technology adoption" (2023) presents a strategic decision-making framework, introducing six types of AI strategies that best fit different organizational contexts, from incremental adoption for operations at a small scale to the more transformative approaches for large media houses. By implementing an appropriate strategy that will gradually introduce AI technologies, journalists will face fewer inconveniences and will manage to work more productively. Regarding policymaking, it is necessary to develop regulatory frameworks that can guarantee the ethical and responsible application of AI in journalism. In this line of thought, the European AI Act presents a typical approach by underlining the protection of fundamental rights and adopting a human-centered approach to AI (Helberger & Diakopoulos, 2022). This therefore calls for policymakers to craft similar regulations that guide AI use in journalism across borders. These regulations should address issues related to bias, transparency, and accountability to ensure the AI system is being used in an ethical manner and not compromising journalistic integrity. Setting these guidelines will help policymakers strike a balance where innovation thrives without undermining standards that center on ethics.

Additionally, the unpredictable nature of today's media landscape necessitates strategic planning that accommodates volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity, commonly referred to as VUCA environments. Biloslavo, Edgar, Aydin, and Bulut (2024) suggest employing the Cynefin framework to manage the complexities in the strategic decision-making process. This involves understanding and exploiting AI's potential at different stages of strategic planning. It is within this framework that news organizations can take on rapid technological changes and shift audience behavior. In such an unpredictable environment, media organizations can only be competitive and resilient through such a proactive approach. Training and continuous professional development also form part of the equation in how journalists leverage AI technology. Because AI is evolving so fast, journalists need not only to know traditional reporting skills but also to stay abreast of new technological competencies. Marshall and Rossman (2016) emphasize the importance of qualitative research skills that can complement AI-driven data analytics. Journalists should invest in training programs that foster a dual skill set, combining qualitative and quantitative research skills. This will enable them to critically evaluate AI-generated outputs and integrate them meaningfully into their reporting. Importantly, collaboration between journalists, technologists, and policymakers is essential. The intersection of these fields can lead to the development of AI systems better suited for journalistic applications, making sure they are functional and fit within the ethical norms of journalism. Forums, workshops, and joint research initiatives have the potential to facilitate such collaborations through which the sharing of ideas and best practices occurs. Public engagement is also an important component in building an informed and receptive audience for AI-enhanced journalism. In this regard, public enlightenment on the role and limits of AI in journalism will engender trust and transparency. Journalists should make concerted efforts to communicate processes behind AI-generated content transparently, explaining human oversight of such and addressing potential biases. Conclusion The use of AI in journalism represents both a complex and promising frontier. Strategic planning, ethical guidelines, continuous training, interdisciplinary collaboration, and public engagement form the backbone of navigating this landscape. The policymakers must engage in drafting good regulations that ensure the responsible use of AI, while journalists are still advised to utilize some phased strategies, incorporate mixed-method skills, and work across disciplines to enable the full potential of AI in news production without compromising on ethical standards. This way, AI can enhance journalism, by increasing efficiency and depth in reporting, without losing its core values.

## **DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING OF INTERESTS**

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.



## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N. B., Haque, S., & Ibahrine, M. (2023). The news ecosystem in the age of AI: Evidence from the UAE. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 67, 323-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2023.2173197>
- Alaqil, F., & Lugo-Ocando, J. (2021). Using statistics in business and financial news in the Arabian Gulf: Between normative journalistic professional aspirations and 'real' practice. *Journalism Practice*, 17, 775-798. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2021.1930572>
- Al-Zubaidy, M., Hogg, H. J., Maniatopoulos, G., Talks, J., Teare, M., Keane, P., & Beyer, F. R. (2021). Stakeholder perspectives on clinical decision support tools to inform clinical artificial intelligence implementation: Protocol for a framework synthesis for qualitative evidence. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.2196/33145>
- Bélisle-Pipon, J., Powell, M., English, R., Malo, M. F., Ravitsky, V., & Bensoussan, Y. (2024). Stakeholder perspectives on ethical and trustworthy voice AI in health care. *Digital Health*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076241260407>
- Biloslavo, R., Edgar, D., Aydin, E., & Bulut, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) and strategic planning process within VUCA environments: A research agenda and guidelines. *Management Decision*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/md-10-2023-1944>
- Bisiani, S., & Heravi, B. (2023). Uncovering the state of local news databases in the UK: Limitations and impacts on research. *Journalism and Media*, 4(4), 1211-1231. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia4040077>
- Bourdieu, P. (1998). *On television and journalism*. London, UK: Pluto Press.
- Brengman, M., Willems, K., Gauquier, L. D., & Vanderborght, B. (2023). Media richness effectiveness: Humanoid robots with or without voice, or just a tablet kiosk?. *Psychology & Marketing*, 41(4), 734-753.
- Canavilhas, J., & Di Fátima, B. (2024). Decoding journalism in the digital age: Self-representation, news quality, and collaboration in Portuguese newsrooms. *Journalism and Media*, 5(2), 515-525. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia5020034>
- Cardaş-Răduța, D. L. (2024). The effectiveness and limitations of artificial intelligence in journalism. *Saeculum*, 57(1), 111-119. <https://doi.org/10.2478/saec-2024-0009>
- Cheng, S. (2024). When journalism meets AI: Risk or opportunity?. *Digital Government: Research and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3665897>
- Creswell, J. W. (2015). *A concise introduction to mixed methods research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dagnino, F., Dimitriadis, Y., Pozzi, F., Rubia-Avi, B., & Asensio-Pérez, J. I. (2020). The role of supporting technologies in a mixed methods research design. *Comunicar*. <https://doi.org/10.3916/c65-2020-05>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
- Dewey, J. (1927). *The public and its problems*. New York, NY: Henry Holt & Company.
- Foucault, M. (1972). *The archaeology of knowledge*. New York, NY: Pantheon Books.
- Fu, Y., & Stasko, J. (2023). More than data stories: Broadening the role of visualization in contemporary journalism. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 30, 5240-5259. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2023.3287585>
- Gómez, E., Brualla-Mora, A., Almunia, N., Jiménez, R., Hickman, C., Harvardi, I., & Villaquirán, A. M. (2022). P-235 An analysis of qualitative and quantitative morphokinetic parameters automatically annotated using CHLOE (Fairtility), an AI-based tool, finds AI score predictive of blastulation and ploidy. *Human Reproduction*, 37(Supplement\_1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deac107.225>
- Gondwe, G. (2023). Mapping AI arguments in journalism studies. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.12357>
- Gutiérrez-Caneda, B., Vázquez-Herrero, J., & López-García, X. (2023). AI application in journalism: ChatGPT and the uses and risks of an emergent technology. *El Profesional De La Información*, 32(5). <https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2023.sep.14>
- Habermas, J. (1984). *The theory of communicative action: Reason and the rationalization of society* (Vol. 1). Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Hamilton, L., Elliott, D., Quick, A., Smith, S., & Choplin, V. (2023). Exploring the use of AI in qualitative analysis: A comparative study of guaranteed income data. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 22.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231201504>

- Heidegger, M. (1977). *The question concerning technology, and other essays*. New York, NY: Garland Publishing.
- Helberger, N., & Diakopoulos, N. (2022). The European AI act and how it matters for research into AI in media and journalism. *Digital Journalism*, 11, 1751-1760. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2022.2082505>
- Hermans, L., & Gyldensted, C. (2018). Elements of constructive journalism: Characteristics, practical application and audience valuation. *Journalism*, 20(4), 535-551. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884918770537>
- Holman, L. A., & Perreault, G. (2022). Diffusion of innovations in digital journalism: Technology, roles, and gender in modern newsrooms. *Journalism*, 24, 938-957. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14648849211073441>
- Husnain, M., Imran, A., & Tareen, H. K. (2024). Artificial intelligence in journalism: Examining prospectus and obstacles for students in the domain of media. *Journal of Asian Development Studies*, 13(1), 614-625. <https://doi.org/10.62345/jads.2024.13.1.51>
- Jain, N. (2021). Survey versus interviews: Comparing data collection tools for exploratory research. *The Qualitative Report*, 26(2), 541-554. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.4492>
- Johs, A. J., Agosto, D. E., & Weber, R. O. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence and social science: Further insights for qualitative investigation. *Applied AI Letters*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ail2.64>
- Karlsson, M., Conill, R. F., & Örnebring, H. (2023). Recoding journalism: Establishing normative dimensions for a twenty-first century news media. *Journalism Studies*, 24, 553-572. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2022.2161929>
- Kim, J. (2023). Traveling AI-essentialism and national AI strategies: A comparison between South Korea and France. *Review of Policy Research*, 40(5), 705-728. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ropr.12552>
- Kotenidis, E., & Veglis, A. (2021). Algorithmic journalism—Current applications and future perspectives. *Journalism and Media*, 2(2), 244-257. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia2020014>
- Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Li, K. (2023). Determinants of college students' actual use of AI-based systems: An extension of the technology acceptance model. *Sustainability*, 15(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15065221>
- Mahony, S., & Chen, Q. (2024). Concerns about the role of artificial intelligence in journalism, and media manipulation. *Journalism*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14648849241263293>
- Marino, J., & Dowling, D. O. (Eds.). (2024). *The art of fact in the digital age: An anthology of new literary journalism*. New York, NY: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. B. (2016). *Designing qualitative research* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- McLuhan, M. (1964). *Understanding media: The extensions of man*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Miao, Y. (2021, May). Big Data Text Mining techniques in journalism and communication. In *7th International Conference on Humanities and Social Science Research (ICHSSR 2021)* (pp. 256-259). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Atlantis Press.
- Mocatta, G. (2020). *Investigative Journalism, Democracy and the Digital Age*, Andrea Carson (2020). *Australian Journalism Review*, 42(1), 135-136. [https://doi.org/10.1386/ajr\\_00027\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1386/ajr_00027_5)
- Neretin, O., Kharchenko, V., & Fesenko, H. (2023, September). Multi-source analysis of AI vulnerabilities: Methodology and algorithms of data collection. In *2023 IEEE 12th International Conference on Intelligent Data Acquisition and Advanced Computing Systems: Technology and Applications (IDAACS)* (Vol. 1, pp. 972-977). <https://doi.org/10.1109/IDAACS58523.2023.10348671>
- Noor, R., & Zafar, H. (2023). Use of artificial intelligence in Pakistani journalism: Navigating challenges and future paths in TV newsrooms. *Journal of Asian Development Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.62345/jads.2023.12.3.131>
- O'Halloran, K., Tan, S., Pham, D. S., Bateman, J., & Moere, A. V. (2018). A digital mixed methods research design: Integrating multimodal analysis with data mining and information visualization for big data analytics. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 12, 11-30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689816651015>
- Papneja, H., & Yadav, N. (2024). Self-disclosure to conversational AI: A literature review, emergent framework, and directions for future research. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-024-01823-7>
- Partha, S. B., Tabassum, M., Goni, M. A., & Kundu, P. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) and future newsrooms: A

- study on journalists of Bangladesh. *Pacific Journalism Review*, 30(1-2), 96-110.
- Pathak, K. (2024). Global trends and status of drone journalism: A systematic analysis of research constituents and key themes. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/gkmc-09-2023-0323>
- Pavlik, J. (2023). Collaborating with ChatGPT: Considering the implications of generative artificial intelligence for journalism and media education. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 78, 84-93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10776958221149577>
- Popper, K. R. (1959). *The logic of scientific discovery*. London, UK: Hutchinson.
- Prescott, M. R., Yeager, S., Ham, L., Rivera Saldana, C. D., Serrano, V., Narez, J., . . . Montoya, J. (2024). Comparing the efficacy and efficiency of human and generative AI: Qualitative thematic analyses. *JMIR AI*, 3, e54482. <https://doi.org/10.2196/54482>
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Free Press.
- Sahay, R., Sinha, J. K., Kathuria, S., Gehlot, A., Balyan, R., & Pant, G. (2023). Present epoch of replacing journalists with robots. In *2023 International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Communication Technology and Networking (CICTN)* (pp. 135-139). <https://doi.org/10.1109/cictn57981.2023.10140663>
- Seychell, D., Hili, G., Attard, J., & Makantatis, K. (2024). AI as a tool for fair journalism: Case studies from Malta. In *2024 IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence (CAI)* (pp. 127-132). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CAI59869.2024.00032>
- Sharma, D. L. R., Bidari, S., Bidari, D., Neupane, S., & Sapkota, R. (2023). Exploring the mixed methods research design: Types, purposes, strengths, challenges, and criticisms. *Global Academic Journal of Linguistics and Literature*, 5(1), 3-12. <https://doi.org/10.36348/gajll.2023.v05i01.002>
- Sheer, V. C. (2020). Media richness theory. *The International Encyclopedia of Media Psychology*, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119011071.iemp0118>
- Sonni, A., Putri, V. C. C., & Irwanto, I. (2024). Bibliometric and content analysis of the scientific work on artificial intelligence in journalism. *Journalism and Media*, 5(2), 787-798. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia5020051>
- Soto-Sanfiel, M. T., Ibiti, A., Machado, M., Marín Ochoa, B. E., Mendoza Michilot, M., Rosell Arce, C. G., & Angulo-Brunet, A. (2022). In search of the Global South: Assessing attitudes of Latin American journalists to artificial intelligence in journalism. *Journalism Studies*, 23(10), 1197-1224.
- Stalph, F., Thurman, N., & Thäsler-Kordonouri, S. (2023). Exploring audience perceptions of, and preferences for, data-driven 'quantitative' journalism. *Journalism*, 25(7), 1460-1480. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14648849231179606>
- Strategizing about AI technology adoption. (2023). *Strategic Direction*, 39(7), 30-32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sd-06-2023-0076>
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sun, M., Hu, W., & Wu, Y. (2022). Public perceptions and attitudes towards the application of artificial intelligence in journalism: From a China-based survey. *Journalism Practice*, 18, 548-570. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2022.2055621>
- Taherdoost, H., & Madanchian, M. (2023). AI advancements: Comparison of innovative techniques. *AI*, 5(1), 38-54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ai5010003>
- Ufarte-Ruiz, M., Murcia-Verdú, F. J., & Túnuez-López, J. (2023). Use of artificial intelligence in synthetic media: First newsrooms without journalists. *El Profesional De La Información*, 32(2). <https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2023.mar.03>
- Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics or control and communication in the animal and the machine*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Yadegari, M., Mohammadi, S., & Masoumi, A. (2022). Technology adoption: An analysis of the major models and theories. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 36, 1096-1110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2022.2071255>
- Yuan, H. (2023). The impact of digital technology on journalism in China today. *Media and Communication Research*, 4(10), 62-65.
- Zhang, H., Wu, C., Xie, J., Lyu, Y., Cai, J., & Carroll, J. M. (2023). Redefining qualitative analysis in the AI era: Utilizing ChatGPT for efficient thematic analysis. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.10771>